

# LP Bulletin

Friday 7th December 2012

# Bulletin 858 - 12/12 - Petroleum Coke Bulk Cargo: Tank Washing, Cleaning Products and Discharge Implications

#### The Club has received many enquiries recently concerning the discharge of Petcoke hold washings. In order to clarify the situation the following paper has been put together by The International Tanker Owners Pollution Federation Limited (ITOPF) which assesses the issues and provides guidance.

Petroleum coke ('petcoke') is a bulk by-product of oil refining. Among other end-uses, it is traded as a form of fuel (*e.g.* for cement manufacture) or an input to other industrial applications (*e.g.* smelting). It is commonly transported at sea in bulk carriers. As with most other bulk cargoes, after discharge there remain residues in the holds and on deck which must be cleaned before new cargoes can be loaded. The cleaning process typically entails dry sweeping, high pressure water washing, the application of a chemical cleaner and a final high pressure water wash. In theory, the dirty wash water is either disposed of at sea or discharged for treatment in land-based reception facilities. However, given increasingly stringent national and international legislation *e.g.* MARPOL, it is becoming ever more important to ensure that a proper disposal route is followed. It is also possible for vessels carrying petcoke to be involved in an incident which results in a loss of the cargo at sea. The purpose of this bulletin is to briefly describe the physical properties of petcoke, the environmental implications of its discharge or loss at sea, the properties and effects of petcoke cleaning agents and some of the national and international policies relating to its disposal.

## PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Petcoke is a black powder, granular or needle-like substance (See Figure 1.), consisting mainly of carbon (84-97%), produced during the thermal decomposition of heavy oils in refining. It exists in various forms, including green coke (also known as raw or delayed petcoke), calcineable, sponge, needle or regular petroleum coke.

Green petcoke is the product of delayed coking and contains significant hydrocarbon content. It has a distinctive hydrocarbon smell and, depending on the heating rate of the refining process, can contain from 4 to 15% volatile material, including Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH).

Fig 1: Petcoke

Table 1: Properties of Petcoke



Property <sup>1</sup>	Fuel- Grade Green	Anode- Grade Calcined
Sulphur (wt%)	2.5-5.5	1.7-3.0
Ash (wt%)	0.1-0.3	0.1-0.3
Nickel (ppm)	Not determined	165-350
Vanadium (ppm)	200-400	120-350
Residual <sup>2,3</sup> hydrocarbon (wt%)	9-12	<0.25
Bulk density (g/cm3)	Not determined	0.8
Real density g/cm3)	Not determined	2.06

Calcined petroleum coke is derived from green coke by heating to high temperatures (>  $1,200^{\circ}$ C). This process removes virtually all of the hydrocarbon content (*i.e.* to < 0.1%). However in order to suppress dust, a small amount (< 0.3%) of oil might be added to the cargo. This may have implications in the case of loss or disposal at sea as the added oil may result in surface sheens. It is also common to use a fine water spray containing surfactants to suppress dust. The surfactant reduces the surface tension of the water, thus making it more effective at wetting the cargo and reducing the volume of water necessary. It is commonly applied in a dilute (between 100:1 and 3,000:1) form and normally classed as non-hazardous.

The exact properties of petcoke depend on the source of the crude oil feedstock and the heating process used. However, major components would be expected to be within the ranges illustrated in Table 1. Trace metals such as nickel and vanadium may be present at ppm levels. The specific gravity of petcoke ranges from 0.8-2.1 relative to water. Therefore, the product specification for each cargo must be consulted to determine if it will float or sink. As a rule of thumb, most petcoke products will sink in seawater. Petcoke is stable and insoluble in water and is therefore likely to form a slurry if discharged at sea.

## ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF PETROLEUM COKE

Petcoke Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS), the classification of petroleum substances according to the EU dangerous goods directive<sup>4</sup> and the GESAMP/EHS<sup>5</sup> composite list of hazard profiles 2003/2004 all state that petcoke is *not* considered a hazard to the marine environment. It is also worth noting that, although petroleum coke is described as non-hazardous, there are potential human health effects relating to the small particulate matter within the powder or granules as inhaled (*i.e.* airborne) dust.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lee et al. 1997.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>http://www.iupac.org/publications/pac/1995/pdf/6703x0473.pdf</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> CONCAWE 1993 Product dossier no. 93/105: Petroleum Coke. Brussels.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup><u>www.lycos.ltd.uk/legislation-library/concawe\_class\_01\_53.../file</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> GESAMP is the Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection, an advisory body consisting of experts nominated by the sponsoring agencies (IMO, FAO, UNESCO –IOC, WHO, IAEA, UN, UNEP). The group has developed a list of hazard profiles for chemical substances that are carried in bulk at sea and may enter the marine environment.

As previously mentioned, the hydrocarbon content of green or raw coke may form a sheen on the water's surface, although this is likely to be localised and non-persistent. The greatest concern following a *bulk* release of petroleum coke (*e.g.* in a ship casualty scenario) is the potential for smothering effects, particularly in low energy or shallow waters where spreading and dilution is reduced. A release near the shoreline may also cause a negative visual effect if significant black solids are washed onto the shore. Any increases in pH or sheen will be short lived, given sufficient water depth and water exchange. As far as the discharge of small quantities of petcoke within otherwise clean wash waters is concerned, it is not expected that there would be harmful effects to the marine environment as long as the hydrocarbon content of the cargo is sufficiently low. However, this comment should be read in the context of the governing legislation referred to below.

# **CLEANING PRODUCTS**

As described above, the hold washing process typically also involves the use of chemical cleaning agents. A number of specialist cleaning products are available for this purpose. These may be general cleaning agents or marketed specifically for particular cargo residues. Some may contain hydrocarbon solvents, while others cleanse on the basis of their caustic properties. As such, they too must be considered when studying the environmental implications of wash water disposal, particularly at sea.

All cleaning products evaluated by the working group on the Evaluation of Safety and Pollution Hazards of chemicals (ESPH) and which were found by the Marine Environment Protection Committee to meet the requirements for potential discharge are listed in Annex 10 of the MEPC.2 circular<sup>6</sup>.

Thus, because of their potential dilution in use and propensity to dissolve in the sea, the key to understanding the potential for environmental impact of any such cleaning agents is the concentration profile over time following the loss or discharge at sea. In other words, the quantity involved, the spill rate and the potential for water exchange.

# NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL GUIDANCE AND RESTRICTIONS ON DISCHARGE

It is beyond the scope of this bulletin to outline the national and international rules on cargorelated discharges, in particular for petcoke. However, a brief note on the rules in the UK and US, as well as those promulgated through the IMO, may be useful for understanding the issues at hand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *MEPC.2/Circ* 17/ *Annex* 10/ *Dec* 2011

Table 2: Summary of MARPOL discharge provisions for petcoke wash water (modified to include oily mixtures)

Type of Discharge	Ships Outside Special Areas	Ships Within Special Areas
Non recoverable cargo residues <sup>7</sup> contained in wash water	Discharge permitted e12nm from the nearest land and as far as practicable	Discharge only permitted e12nm from the nearest land <sup>8</sup> and as far as practicable <b>if</b> departure and destination are both within the special area and no adequate reception facilities are available at those ports <sup>9</sup> or in an emergency situation
Cleaning agents and additives <sup>7</sup> contained in cargo hold wash water	Discharge permitted	Discharge only permitted e12nm from the nearest land and as far as practicable <b>if</b> departure and destination are both within the special area and no adequate reception facilities are available at those ports or in an emergency situation
Mixed garbage	When garbage is mixed with or contaminated by other substances prohibited from discharge or having different discharge requirements, the more stringent requirements shall apply	
Oily mixtures from non tankers >400GT	Discharge is only permitted if the oil content of any bilge water discharged is below 15 parts per million (ppm); the Ship must be more than 12 nautical miles from nearest land and it must have in operation an approved oil discharge monitoring and control system, oily water separating equipment or oil filtering equipment	Discharge is only permitted if the oil content of any bilge water discharged is below 15 parts per million (ppm); the Ship must be more than 12 nautical miles from nearest land; and it must have in operation an approved oil discharge monitoring and control system, oily water separating equipment or oil filtering equipment with an alarm and automatic stopping device

Cargo residues and cleaning agents from tank washing are defined as "garbage" within the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL). Table 2 offers a simplified summary of the relevant MARPOL legislation. The differentiation between ships within and outside "special areas" is important because the discharge of petcoke cargo wash water is prohibited not only in close proximity to the shore but also within 6 IMO named "special areas". <u>These include the Mediterranean, the Gulf of Mexico, the wider Caribbean, the Baltic Sea, the North Sea and the Antarctic where the disposal of garbage at sea is heavily restricted.</u> The reasoning behind the universal 12 nautical mile limit is the reduced potential for dilution and mixing in shallow coastal areas; the reasoning behind the prohibition in special areas is that they are deemed to be highly vulnerable to pollution and have a reduced capacity to recover.

Further, any hydrocarbon "sheen" produced by discharged tank wash water would constitute a violation under MARPOL Annex 1 (concerning oil pollution). Discharge from bilge tanks in areas where permitted must pass through an oily water separator and monitoring system, plus the oil content of the discharge must not exceed 15ppm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> These substances must not be harmful to the marine environment as classified according to the UN GHS (2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 'Nearest land' is defined as the baseline used to establish the territorial sea. However, the Convention makes a special case for Australia's Great Barrier Reef where nearest land means a line shown between a series of co-ordinates on the outer edge of the reef. All distances relating to discharge prohibitions are measured from these lines.
<sup>9</sup> According to regulation 6.1.2 of MARPOL Annex V.

Legislation in the United States, such as the Clean Water Act (CWA), the Act to Prevent Pollution from Ships (APPS) and several Coast Guard regulations, implement the standards imposed by MARPOL and prohibit discharge of oily residues or MARPOL defined garbage within 12 nautical miles from shore.

In its guidance on the at-sea disposal of cargo tank washings and hatch washings<sup>10</sup>, the UK Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA) states that:

".... after unloading some bulk cargoes many ships will wash their holds or decks to remove this excess or spilt material as it could contaminate the next cargo. In such cases this material can be disposed of at sea so long as it is inert, has been minimised by removing as much cargo residue as possible and any disposal complies with the 2008 Regulations and any other relevant legislation. If the material is a marine pollutant, a hazardous or noxious material, or a material that could cause secondary pollution on contact with the sea (such as petcoke, which if disposed of at sea, can cause a sheen on the surface, which will put the ship in contravention of Annex 1 of MARPOL 73/78), then any washings should be disposed of on shore through appropriate reception facilities."

# CONCLUSIONS

Although petcoke is not officially classed as harmful to the marine environment (through the seven criteria in paragraph 3.2 of the 2012 guidelines for the implementation of MARPOL Annex V) and it could *in theory* be discharged while a vessel is en route and at least 12 nautical miles from the nearest land, petcoke cargo residue and wash water can contain a number of harmful components such as residual hydrocarbons, cleaning agents or dust suppressants. The presence of such components in sufficient quantity **could** therefore result in a particular cargo residue being considered as harmful to the marine environment.

Generally, the impacts of a discharge of petcoke residue and cleaning products within hold wash water will depend on the volume and the location of the discharge. However, the most likely impact is that an oily sheen may be visible on the water surface for a short time in the immediate vicinity of the discharge, with a localised and short term increase in the pH of the seawater. If the discharge is undertaken in sufficient depth of seawater with currents allowing a good water exchange, it is likely that any residues will quickly dissipate.

Finally, it is worth noting that the legislation regarding the discharge of any hold wash water from vessels is complicated, whether nationally or internationally. The minimum requirements worldwide tend to be in line with the MARPOL recommendations (for example as outlined in Table 2), but may be more stringent in certain locations. As a result, operators are finding it ever more prudent to transfer tank wash water to shore side facilities for processing rather than discharge at sea in order to avoid potential environmental issues and possible litigation or fines. This is also true for petcoke wash water.

### Source of Information:

ITOPF e: <u>central@itopf.com</u> t : +44 20 7566 6999

### Club cover implications:

It is worth mentioning that if proceedings are taken against a ship under MARPOL or equivalent legislation, as a consequence of the discharge of petcoke residues and washings, which may have produced a sheen, any resulting fine may not be recoverable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> <u>http://www.dft.gov.uk/mca/mcga-mnotice.htm?textobjid=66BA552FA1C46975</u>

Cover is provided as of right for an accidental discharge or escape such as arising from a casualty situation. However, a fine arising from a deliberate discharge could only be covered on a discretionary basis as provided for in Rule 2 Section 22 Paragraph F:

".....to the extent that (i) the owner has satisfied the Directors that he took steps as appear to the Directors to be reasonable to avoid the event giving rise to such fine and (ii) the Directors in their discretion and without having to give any reasons for their decision, decide that the Owner should recover."

It follows that for any fine resulting from the discharge of petcoke residues may be discretionary.